

*[27th March 1929]

4.—(1) A copy of G.O. No. 377, Revenue, dated 22nd February 1929, which deals with this question, is placed on the Council Table.

(2) The hon. Member is referred to the answer given to question No. 1450 at the meeting of the 25th February 1929.

Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR :—“ May I know if the matter has been considered after 25th February 1929 ? ”

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS :—“ Which matter, Sir ? ”

Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR :—“ The matter of the necessity for having a separate Collector for Mettur.”

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS :—“ The position as stated in the Government Order remains unchanged.”

Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR :—“ Has the matter not been considered after the issue of the Government Order ? ”

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS :—“ There has been no change since then.”

Land Revenue

Cutting of trees in public punthas and other communal lands.

* 1979 Q.—Mr. K. V. R. SWAMI : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state whether the Government have issued any Government Orders to the effect that trees in public punthas and other communal lands should not be allowed to be cut and used by the zamindars ?

A.—No new orders have been issued to the effect stated. But where it has been the local practice to bring certain trees on such lands to account in the village accounts the Government ordered that the practice should not be discontinued.

Mr. K. V. R. SWAMI :—“ May I know whether all the footpaths belong to the Government ? ”

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS :—“ I should not like to subscribe to so general a proposition, Sir.”

Mr. K. V. R. SWAMI :—“ May I know whether the footpaths that were in existence before permanent settlement of these zamindaris come under this category ? ”

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS :—“ Generally speaking, the answer to questions of that nature is in the affirmative. But I would not say that there would not be circumstances that would call for a different answer.”

Proposed transfer of the Stationary Sub-Magistrate's office from Palladam to Tiruppur.

* 1980 Q.—Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether there is a proposal to remove the Stationary Sub-Magistrate's office from Palladam to Tiruppur in the Coimbatore district and if so, who has made the proposal and for what reasons ;